arXiv:1303.0587v1 [math.AG] 4 Mar 2013

ON THE HOMOLOGY OF THE MODULI SPACES OF HEIGHT ZERO AND DIMENSION TWELVE

MARIO MAICAN

Abstract. We compute the Betti numbers of the moduli space of semi-stable sheaves on the projective plane of rank 5 and Chern classes 4 and 10 (or rank 7 and Chern classes 5 and 15).

1. Introduction

Let $r > 0$, c_1, c_2 be integers. Let $\mathrm{M}(r, c_1, c_2)$ be the moduli space of Gieseker semi-stable sheaves on $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{C})$ of rank r and Chern classes c_1, c_2. Let

$$\Delta(r, c_1, c_2) = \frac{1}{r}\left(c_2 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right)\frac{c_1^2}{2}\right), \qquad \mu = \frac{c_1}{r}$$

be the discriminant and slope of a sheaf giving a point in $\mathrm{M}(r, c_1, c_2)$. The moduli spaces $\mathrm{M}(r, c_1, c_2)$ of dimension zero consist of a point, the isomorphism class of a so-called exceptional bundle. According to [5], there exists a (unique) function $\delta\colon \mathbb{Q} \to \mathbb{Q}$ such that for all r, c_1, c_2, $\mathrm{M}(r, c_1, c_2)$ has positive dimension if and only if $\Delta(r, c_1, c_2) \geq \delta(\mu)$. (The function δ is positive and periodic of period 1, see [8], 16.4.) In [5], $\mathrm{M}(r, c_1, c_2)$ is said to have *height zero* if $\Delta = \delta(\mu)$. In was shown in op.cit. that these moduli spaces are isomorphic to moduli spaces of semi-stable Kronecker modules.

Let q, m, n be positive integers. The group $\mathrm{GL}(m, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ acts by conjugation on the vector space $\mathbb{W}$ of linear maps $\mathbb{C}^m \otimes \mathbb{C}^q \to \mathbb{C}^n$ (called *Kronecker modules*). The subset $\mathbb{W}^{\mathrm{ss}}$ of semi-stable Kronecker modules admits a good quotient, denoted $\mathrm{N}(q, m, n)$. According to [5], $\mathrm{N}(q, m, n)$ is an irreducible projective variety of dimension $qmn - m^2 - n^2 + 1$, if non-empty. It is smooth at points given by stable Kronecker modules so, in particular, $\mathrm{N}(q, m, n)$ is smooth if m and n are mutually prime. Moreover, we have canonical isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{N}(q, m, n) \simeq \mathrm{N}(q, n, qn - m). \tag{1.1}$$

The main result of [5] states that if $\mathrm{M}(r, c_1, c_2)$ has height zero, then there are an exceptional bundle E and integers m, n such that we have a canonical isomorphism

$$\mathrm{M}(r, c_1, c_2) \simeq \mathrm{N}(3\,\mathrm{r}(E), m, n). \tag{1.2}$$

Composing with the isomorphism (1.1) we obtain a new moduli space of height zero and smaller slope, denoted $\Lambda^+ \mathrm{M}(r, c_1, c_2)$. Iterating this process we obtain an infinite sequence of isomorphic moduli spaces. We say that $\mathrm{M}(r, c_1, c_2)$ is *initial* if it is not $\Lambda^+ \mathrm{M}(r, c_1', c_2')$ for any c_1', c_2'. The classification of the moduli spaces of height zero and dimension up to 10 was carried out in [5].

We claim that the moduli spaces of height zero and dimension 11 are isomorphic to $\mathrm{N}(12,1,1) \simeq \mathbb{P}^{11}$. To see this we need to solve the diophantine equation

$$3rmn - m^2 - n^2 = 10, \qquad r, m, n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{1.3}$$

Let $S, R\colon \mathbb{Z}^2 \to \mathbb{Z}^2$ be given by $S(m,n) = (n,m)$, $R(m,n) = (n, 3rn - m)$. The solutions to (1.3) are of the form $(4,m,n)$, where (m,n) is obtained from $(1,1)$ by applying finitely many times the operations S and R. By virtue of isomorphism (1.1), this proves the claim.

By analogy, and according to appendix A, the solutions to the diophantine equation

$$3rmn - m^2 - n^2 = 11, \qquad r, m, n \in \mathbb{N} \tag{1.4}$$

are of the form $(1,m,n)$, where (m,n) is obtained from $(4,3)$ by applying S and R finitely many times. This shows that the moduli spaces of height zero and dimension 12 are isomorphic to $\mathrm{N}(3,4,3)$. Let us determine the initial moduli spaces M of height zero and dimension $d = 12$. We may assume that $E = \mathcal{O}(1)$. The condition that M be initial implies the inequalities

$$\mu(E) - \frac{1}{3\,\mathrm{r}(E)^2} < \mu \le \mu(E), \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \frac{2}{3} < \mu \le 1.$$

By [5], prop. 30, we have the inequalities $\mathrm{r}(E)\sqrt{d-1} \le r < 3\,\mathrm{r}(E)^2\sqrt{d-1}$, forcing $4 \le r \le 9$. The condition that M have height zero is equivalent to the condition

$$\Delta = \frac{1}{2}(\mu - \mu(E))(\mu - \mu(E) + 3) + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2\,\mathrm{r}(E)^2} = \frac{1}{2}(\mu - 1)(\mu + 2) + 1.$$

But $d - 1 = r^2(2\Delta - 1)$. Combining with the equation above we obtain the diophantine equation $11 = c_1^2 + rc_1 - r^2$. Verifying this equation for $r = 4, \ldots, 9$ and $c_1 = [2r/3] + 1, \ldots, r$ yields solutions $(r, c_1) = (5,4)$ and $(7,5)$. We obtain the moduli spaces $\mathrm{M}(5,4,10)$ and $\mathrm{M}(7,5,15)$.

The aim of this paper is to determine the additive structure of the integral homology groups of the moduli spaces above, i.e. of the homology groups of $\mathrm{N}(3,4,3)$. We will use the method of Białynicki-Birula [1], [2], which consists of analysing the fixed-point locus for the action of a torus on a smooth projective variety. Drézet [6] and Ellingsrud and Strømme [7] have given algorithms for computing the homology of $\mathrm{N}(q,m,n)$ based on different methods.

We will consider the action of $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*$ on $\mathrm{N} = \mathrm{N}(3,4,3)$ given by multiplication on the second factor of $\mathbb{C}^4 \otimes \mathbb{C}^3$. In section 2 we will show that the fixed-point locus consists of 62 isolated points and 3 projective lines. In section 3 we will describe the induced action of $\mathbb{T}$ on the tangent space at every fixed point, which allows us to find the additive structure of $\mathrm{H}_*(\mathrm{N}, \mathbb{Z})$, cf. formula (3.5). In particular, $\mathrm{H}_*(\mathrm{N}, \mathbb{Z})$ is torsion-free because the same is true of the homology groups of each irreducible component of the $\mathbb{T}$-fixed locus. The odd Betti numbers of N are zero and the even Betti numbers are 1, 1, 3, 5, 7, 10, 12, 10, 7, 5, 3, 1, 1.

According to [6], if m and n are coprime, then the cohomology ring of $\mathrm{N}(q,m,n)$ is generated by the Chern clases of certain universal vector bundles. It follows that the only non-zero Hodge numbers of $\mathrm{N}(3,4,3)$ are on the diagonal, so they are given by $\mathrm{h}^{i,i} = \mathrm{b}_{2i}$, $i = 0, 1, \ldots, 12$.

Notations.

V = a fixed vector space over $\mathbb{C}$ of dimension 3;
$\mathbb{P}^2$ = $\mathbb{P}(V)$;
$\{e_0, e_1, e_2\}$ = a basis of V;
$\{X, Y, Z\}$ = the basis of V^* dual to $\{e_0, e_1, e_2\}$;
S_3 = the group of permutations of the variables (X, Y, Z);
A_3 = the subgroup of S_3 of even permutations;
W = $\mathrm{Hom}(4\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-1), 3\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}) = \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^4 \otimes V, \mathbb{C}^3)$;
φ = an element of W represented by a 3×4-matrix with entries in V^*;
φ_σ = the matrix obtained from φ after performing the permutation $\sigma \in \mathrm{S}_3$;
φ_i = the maximal minor of φ obtained by deleting the i-th column;
U_φ = $\mathrm{span}\{\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3, \varphi_4\} \subset \mathrm{S}^3\, V^*$;
$\mathrm{type}(\varphi)$ = $(\mathrm{rank}(\varphi \mod (Y, Z)), \mathrm{rank}(\varphi \mod (X, Z)), \mathrm{rank}(\varphi \mod (X, Y)))$;
W_i = $\{\varphi \in W \mid \deg(\gcd(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3, \varphi_4)) = i\}$, where $i = 0, 1, 2$;
G = $(\mathrm{GL}(4, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{C}))/\mathbb{C}^*$, where $\mathbb{C}^*$ is the subgroup of homotheties;
$\mathfrak{g}$ = $\mathrm{T}_1\, G$, the tangent space of G at 1;
$(g, h)\varphi$ = $h\varphi g^{-1}$, the action of G on W by conjugation;
W^{ss} = the subset of W of semi-stable points for the above action;
$\mathrm{N}(3, 4, 3)$ = $\mathrm{N} = W^{\mathrm{ss}}/G$, the moduli space of semi-stable Kronecker modules $\mathbb{C}^4 \otimes V \to \mathbb{C}^3$;
$[\varphi]$ = the image in N of φ;
N_i = the image in N of W_i, $i = 0, 1, 2$;
$\mathrm{Hilb}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(6)$ = the Hilbert scheme of zero-dimensional subschemes of $\mathbb{P}^2$ of length 6;
$\mathrm{Hilb}^0_{\mathbb{P}^2}(6)$ = the open subset of $\mathrm{Hilb}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(6)$ of schemes that are not contained in a conic curve;
$\mathbb{T}$ = $\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*$;
τ = (t_0, t_1, t_2), an element of $\mathbb{T}$;
$\tau(x : y : z)$ = $(t_0^{-1}x : t_1^{-1}y : t_2^{-1}z)$, the canonical action of $\mathbb{T}$ on $\mathbb{P}^2$;
$\tau X^i Y^j Z^k$ = $t_0^i t_1^j t_2^k X^i Y^j Z^k$, the induced action on the symmetric algebra of V^*;
$\tau[\varphi]$ = $[\tau\varphi]$, the induced action of $\mathbb{T}$ on $\mathrm{N}(3, 4, 3)$.

2. Fixed points in $\mathrm{N}(3, 4, 3)$ for the action of $\mathbb{T}$

2.1. **Fixed points in N_0.** It is known that the map $[\varphi] \to \mathcal{C}oker(\varphi)$ gives a $\mathbb{T}$-equivariant isomorphism $\mathrm{N}_0 \to \mathrm{Hilb}^0_{\mathbb{P}^2}(6)$. Thus the $\mathbb{T}$-fixed points in N_0 are in a bijective correspondence with the $\mathbb{T}$-fixed subschemes $S \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ of dimension 0 and length 6, that are not contained in a conic curve. The classification of these schemes is well-known, but we include it here for completeness. Denote $p_0 = (1 : 0 : 0)$, $p_1 = (0 : 1 : 0)$, $p_2 = (0 : 0 : 1)$. Let p_{ij} be the double point supported on p_i and contained in the line $p_i p_j$; let q_i be the triple $\mathbb{T}$-invariant point supported on p_i, that is not contained in a line; let q_{ij} be the triple point supported on p_i and contained in the line $p_i p_j$. Note that S is supported on a subset of $\{p_0, p_1, p_2\}$, so it has one of the following types: $(2, 2, 2)$, $(1, 2, 3)$, $(1, 1, 4)$, $(1, 5)$, $(2, 4)$, $(3, 3)$, 6.

2.1.1. *Type* $(2, 2, 2)$. There are two invariant subschemes S of type $(2, 2, 2)$, namely $\{p_{01}, p_{12}, p_{20}\}$ and $\{p_{02}, p_{21}, p_{10}\}$. The ideal of the former is $(Y^2, Z) \cap (Z^2, X) \cap$

$(X^2, Y) = (XYZ, YZ^2, X^2Z, XY^2)$, so it corresponds to the matrix

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{Z} & \boldsymbol{X} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \boldsymbol{X} & \mathbf{0} & \boldsymbol{Y} & \mathbf{0} \\ \boldsymbol{Y} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \boldsymbol{Z} \end{bmatrix}.$$

The matrix corresponding to the other scheme is α_σ, where σ is the transposition (X, Y).

2.1.2. *Type* $(1, 2, 3)$. Note that S cannot contain a subscheme of the form q_{ij}, otherwise S would be contained in the union of two lines. Thus S contains a subscheme of the form q_i, say q_2. Note that $\{p_0, p_{12}, q_2\}$ is contained in $p_0p_2 \cup p_1p_2$. Thus there are six schemes obtained from $S = \{p_0, p_{10}, q_2\}$ by the action of S_3. Since $I(S) = (Y, Z) \cap (X^2, Z) \cap (X^2, XY, Y^2) = (XYZ, Y^2Z, X^2Z, X^2Y)$, we see that S corresponds to the matrix

$$\boldsymbol{\beta} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{Y} & \boldsymbol{X} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \boldsymbol{X} & \mathbf{0} & \boldsymbol{Y} & \mathbf{0} \\ \boldsymbol{X} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \boldsymbol{Z} \end{bmatrix}.$$

2.1.3. *Type* $(3, 3)$. The two subschemes of S of length 3 cannot be each contained in a line. The scheme $\{q_0, q_1\}$ is unfeasible because it is contained in the conic curve $\{Z^2 = 0\}$. It follows that $S = \{q_i, q_{jk}\}$, for distinct i, j, k. There are six such schemes obtained from $S = \{q_{01}, q_2\}$ by the action of S_3. Since $I(S) = (Y^3, Z) \cap (X^2, XY, Y^2) = (Y^2Z, XYZ, X^2Z, Y^3)$, we deduce that S corresponds to the matrix

$$\boldsymbol{\gamma} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{Y} & \boldsymbol{X} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \boldsymbol{X} & \mathbf{0} & \boldsymbol{Y} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \boldsymbol{Y} & \mathbf{0} & \boldsymbol{Z} \end{bmatrix}.$$

2.1.4. *Type* $(1, 1, 4)$. Let r be the point of S of multiplicity 4, supported say at p_2. Note that r cannot be contained in a line, otherwise S would be contained in the union of two lines. Thus $I(r) \cap \operatorname{span}\{X^2, XY, Y^2\}$ is a $\mathbb{T}$-invariant subspace of dimension 2. This subspace must be generated by two invariant monomials. Note that r cannot be contained in the conic curve $\{XY = 0\}$, otherwise S would be contained in the said conic. Thus $I(r) = (X^2, Y^2)$; we denote this point by r_2. We obtain three schemes: $\{r_0, p_1, p_2\}$, $\{r_1, p_0, p_2\}$, $\{r_2, p_0, p_1\}$. The ideal of the latter is $(X, Z) \cap (Y, Z) \cap (X^2, Y^2) = (Y^2Z, X^2Z, XY^2, X^2Y)$, so it corresponds to the matrix

$$\boldsymbol{\delta} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{Y} & \boldsymbol{Z} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \boldsymbol{Y} & \boldsymbol{X} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \boldsymbol{Z} & \boldsymbol{X} \end{bmatrix}.$$

The other two matrices are δ_σ, where $\sigma = (X, Z), (Y, Z)$.

2.1.5. *Type* $(2, 4)$. Let r be the point of S of multiplicity 4. As before, r cannot be contained in a line. Moreover, $r \neq r_2$, otherwise S would be contained in the conic curve $\{X^2 = 0\}$ or $\{Y^2 = 0\}$. Thus $r = r_{20}$ or $r = r_{21}$, where r_{20} is given by the ideal (X^3, XY, Y^2) and r_{21} is given by the ideal (X^2, XY, Y^3). We get six schemes obtained from $S = \{p_{10}, r_{20}\}$ by the action of S_3. Since $I(S) =$

$(X^2, Z) \cap (X^3, XY, Y^2) = (Y^2Z, XYZ, X^2Y, X^3)$, we deduce that S corresponds to the matrix

$$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = \left[\begin{array}{cccc} \boldsymbol{X} & \boldsymbol{Y} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \boldsymbol{Z} & \mathbf{0} & \boldsymbol{X} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \boldsymbol{Y} & \boldsymbol{X} \end{array} \right].$$

2.1.6. *Type* $(1,5)$. Let s be the point of S of multiplicity 5, supported say on p_2. Note that s cannot be contained in a line or in the conic $\{XY = 0\}$. It follows that $s = s_{20}$ or $s = s_{21}$, where s_{20} is given by the ideal (X^3, X^2Y, Y^2) and s_{21} is given by the ideal (X^2, XY^2, Y^3). Letting S_3 act on $S = \{p_1, s_{20}\}$ we obtain six $\mathbb{T}$-fixed schemes. The ideal of S is $(X, Z) \cap (X^3, X^2Y, Y^2) = (Y^2Z, XY^2, X^2Y, X^3)$, hence S corresponds to the matrix

$$\boldsymbol{\zeta} = \left[\begin{array}{cccc} \boldsymbol{Y} & \boldsymbol{X} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \boldsymbol{X} & \mathbf{0} & \boldsymbol{Y} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \boldsymbol{Z} & \mathbf{0} & \boldsymbol{X} \end{array} \right].$$

2.1.7. *Type* 6. There is only one $\mathbb{T}$-invariant point of multiplicity 6 supported on p_2. Its ideal is (X^3, X^2Y, XY^2, Y^3) and the associated matrix is

$$\boldsymbol{\eta} = \left[\begin{array}{cccc} \boldsymbol{Y} & \boldsymbol{X} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \boldsymbol{X} & \mathbf{0} & \boldsymbol{Y} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \boldsymbol{X} & \boldsymbol{Y} \end{array} \right].$$

The matrices corresponding to the other two points of multiplicity 6 are η_σ, where $\sigma = (X, Z)$ or (Y, Z).

2.2. **Fixed points in** N_1. Consider $\varphi \in W$. Assume that $\gcd(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3, \varphi_4) = l$ for some $l \in V^*$. Let $L \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ denote the line given by the equation $l = 0$. According to 3.3.5 [9], φ is semi-stable if and only if $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3, \varphi_4$ are linearly independent, which we assume in the sequel.

Claim. *Assume that* $[\varphi]$ *is* $\mathbb{T}$*-invariant. Then* $l \in \{X, Y, Z\}$ *and any monomial occurring in any* φ_i, $1 \leq i \leq 4$, *belongs to* U_φ. *Moreover, there are distinct monomials* $\psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_3, \psi_4 \in \mathrm{S}^3\, V^*$ *such that* $U_\varphi = \mathrm{span}\{\psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_3, \psi_4\}$.

Proof. For every $\tau \in \mathbb{T}$, $\tau\varphi \sim \varphi$, hence $U_{\tau\varphi} = U_\varphi$, hence $\mathrm{span}\{\tau l\} = \mathrm{span}\{l\}$. Thus l is a monomial. Let $\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_k$ be the distinct monomials occurring in φ_1. We can find $\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_k \in \mathbb{T}$ such that $\tau_1\varphi_1, \ldots, \tau_k\varphi_1$ are linearly independent. Thus each ψ_i, $1 \leq i \leq k$, is a linear combination of $\tau_1\varphi_1, \ldots, \tau_k\varphi_1$, so it belongs to U_φ. This shows that exactly four monomials occur in $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3, \varphi_4$, and these monomials span U_φ. □

Arguing as in the proof of 3.3.6 [9], we can show that, after performing elementary row and column operations, we may write

$$\varphi = \left[\begin{array}{cccc} & & & 0 \\ & \upsilon & & 0 \\ \star & \star & \star & \ell \end{array} \right],$$

where υ has linearly independent maximal minors. We will examine several cases according to the different possibilities for $\mathcal{C}oker(\upsilon)$. Assume first that the maximal minors of υ have no common factor. Then $\mathcal{C}oker(\upsilon) \simeq \mathcal{O}_\Upsilon$, where $\Upsilon \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ is a zero-dimensional subscheme of length 3 that is not contained in a line. Moreover,

$\ell = l$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\ell = X$, the other cases being obtained by a permutation of the variables. We have an extension

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_L \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}oker(\varphi) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_\Upsilon \longrightarrow 0.$$

In the sequel φ will represent a $\mathbb{T}$-fixed point in $\mathrm{N}(3,4,3)$.

2.2.1. *Case when Υ is the union of three non-colinear closed points.* Let $\Upsilon' \subset \Upsilon$ be the subscheme supported on $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus L$. For $\tau \in \mathbb{T}$ denote by $\mu_\tau \colon \mathbb{P}^2 \to \mathbb{P}^2$ the map of multiplication by τ. Note that $\mu_\tau^*(\mathcal{C}oker(\varphi)) \simeq \mathcal{C}oker(\varphi)$, hence $\mu_\tau^*(\mathcal{O}_{\Upsilon'}) \simeq \mathcal{O}_\Upsilon$. We deduce that $\Upsilon' = \{p_0\}$. Thus we may write

$$\varphi = \begin{bmatrix} X & aY + bZ & 0 & 0 \\ X & 0 & cY + dZ & 0 \\ \ell_1 & \ell_2 & \ell_3 & X \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{where} \quad \begin{vmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{vmatrix} \neq 0.$$

Since X divides φ_4, X also divides ℓ_1, so we may assume that $\ell_1 = 0$. Note that a, b, c, d cannot be all non-zero, otherwise $X\{XY, XZ, Y^2, YZ, Z^2\} \subset U_\varphi$, contradicting claim 2.2. Assume that $b = 0$. Thus $a, d \neq 0$ and

$$\varphi \sim \begin{bmatrix} X & Y & 0 & 0 \\ X & 0 & cY + Z & 0 \\ 0 & eZ & fY & X \end{bmatrix}.$$

If $e \neq 0$, then $c = 0$, otherwise $X\{Y^2, YZ, XY, XZ, Z^2\} \subset U_\varphi$, contradicting claim 2.2. Moreover, $f = 0$, otherwise $X\{YZ, XY, XZ, Z^2, Y^2\} \subset U_\varphi$, contradicting claim 2.2. We obtain the matrix

$$\boldsymbol{\theta} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{X} & \boldsymbol{Y} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \boldsymbol{X} & \mathbf{0} & \boldsymbol{Z} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \boldsymbol{Z} & \mathbf{0} & \boldsymbol{X} \end{bmatrix},$$

which clearly represents a $\mathbb{T}$-fixed point. If $e = 0$, then

$$\varphi \sim \begin{bmatrix} X & Y & 0 & 0 \\ X & 0 & cY + Z & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & Y & X \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} X & Y & 0 & 0 \\ X & 0 & Z & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & Y & X \end{bmatrix} \sim \theta_\sigma,$$

where $\sigma = (X, Y)$. In conclusion, we have six $\mathbb{T}$-fixed points $[\boldsymbol{\theta_\sigma}]$, $\boldsymbol{\sigma \in \mathrm{S}_3}$. They are distinct because $U_\theta = \operatorname{span}\{XYZ, X^2Z, X^2Y, XZ^2\}$ is not fixed by any $\sigma \in \mathrm{S}_3$.

2.2.2. *Case when Υ is the union of a closed point on L and a double point.* Assume that $\Upsilon = \{p, q\}$, where p is a closed point and q is a double point. Arguing as at 2.2.1, we see that p and q cannot be both in $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus L$. Assume that $p \in L$ and $q \in \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus L$. Then $q \in \{p_{01}, p_{02}\}$, say $q = p_{02}$. Thus p is given by the ideal $(X, aY + Z)$ for some $a \in \mathbb{C}$ and q is given by the ideal $(Y, Z(aY + Z))$, so we may write

$$\varphi = \begin{bmatrix} Y & Z & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & X & aY + Z & 0 \\ \ell_1 & \ell_2 & \ell_3 & X \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since X divides φ_4, it follows that X divides $Y\ell_2 - Z\ell_1$, hence we may write

$$\varphi = \begin{bmatrix} Y & Z & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & X & aY + Z & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & Y & X \end{bmatrix}. \quad \text{Consider} \quad \psi_t = \begin{bmatrix} Y & Z & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & X & atY + Z & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & Y & X \end{bmatrix}$$

for each $t \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Note that $\{[\tau\varphi], \tau \in \mathbb{T}\} = \{[\psi_t], t \in \mathbb{C}^*\}$, hence $\varphi \sim \psi_t$ for all $t \in \mathbb{C}^*$. The orbits for the action of G on W^{ss} are closed because there are no properly semi-stable points. Thus $\varphi \sim \lim_{t\to 0} \psi_t = \kappa$, where

$$\boldsymbol{\kappa} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{Y} & \boldsymbol{Z} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \boldsymbol{X} & \boldsymbol{Z} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \boldsymbol{Y} & \boldsymbol{X} \end{bmatrix}$$

clearly determines a $\mathbb{T}$-fixed point in N. Note that $\kappa \nsim \theta_\sigma$ for all $\sigma \in \mathrm{S}_3$ because $\mathrm{type}(\theta) = (2,1,2)$ whereas $\mathrm{type}(\kappa) = (2,2,2)$. Thus we obtain six new fixed points $[\boldsymbol{\kappa_\sigma}]$, $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \in \mathbf{S_3}$. They are distinct because $U_\kappa = \mathrm{span}\{XZ^2, XYZ, X^2Y, XY^2\}$ is not fixed by any $\sigma \in \mathrm{S}_3$.

2.2.3. *Case when Υ is the union of a closed point outside L and a double point.* Assume that $\Upsilon = \{p, q\}$, where $p \in \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus L$ is a closed point and q is a double point. Then, as before, $p = p_0$ and $\mathrm{red}(q) \in L$. In fact, we will show that q is a subscheme of L. Assume that the contrary is true. Then $I(q) = ((aY + bZ)^2, X + aY + bZ)$, where a and b are not both zero, say $a \neq 0$. We may write

$$\varphi = \begin{bmatrix} X + aY + bZ & aY + bZ & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & Z & aY + bZ & 0 \\ \star & \star & \star & X \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that $b = 0$, otherwise $X\{Y^2, YZ, Z^2, XY, XZ\} \subset U_\varphi$, contrary to claim 2.2. Thus we may write

$$\varphi = \begin{bmatrix} X + aY & Y & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & Z & Y & 0 \\ cY + dZ & 0 & eY + fZ & X \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that $U_\varphi = \mathrm{span}\{XY^2, X^2Y, X^2Z, XYZ\}$. Since $\varphi_4 = eXYZ + fXZ^2 + (ae + d)Y^2Z + afYZ^2 + cY^3$ we deduce that $f = 0$, $ae + d = 0$, $c = 0$. Thus $e \neq 0$ and

$$\varphi \sim \begin{bmatrix} X + aY & Y & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & Z & Y & 0 \\ -aZ & 0 & Y & X \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} X & Y & 0 & 0 \\ -aZ & Z & Y & 0 \\ 0 & -Z & 0 & X \end{bmatrix}.$$

For each $t \in \mathbb{C}^*$ consider the morphism

$$\psi_t = \begin{bmatrix} X & Y & 0 & 0 \\ -atZ & Z & Y & 0 \\ 0 & -Z & 0 & X \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that $\psi = \lim_{t\to 0} \psi_t$ belongs to W^{ss} since $U_\psi = \mathrm{span}\{XY^2, X^2Y, X^2Z, XYZ\}$ has dimension 4. Since $\{[\tau\varphi], \tau \in \mathbb{T}\} = \{[\psi_t], t \in \mathbb{C}^*\}$, $\varphi \sim \psi_t$ for all $t \in \mathbb{C}^*$. The orbits for the action of G on W^{ss} are closed because there are no properly semi-stable points. We conclude that $\varphi \sim \psi$, which is impossible, these two matrices having different types. The above discussion shows that q is a subscheme of L, hence $I(q) = (X, (aY + bZ)^2)$, where a, b are not both zero, say $a \neq 0$. We may write

$$\varphi = \begin{bmatrix} X & aY + bZ & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & Z & aY + bZ & 0 \\ \star & \star & \star & X \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that $X\{aY^2, abYZ, bZ^2, aXY, XZ\} \subset U_\varphi$, hence, by claim 2.2, $b = 0$, i.e. $q = p_{21}$. We may write

$$\varphi = \begin{bmatrix} X & Y & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & Z & Y & 0 \\ \ell_1 & \ell_2 & \ell_3 & X \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since X divides φ_4, X also divides ℓ_1, hence we may write

$$\varphi = \begin{bmatrix} X & Y & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & Z & Y & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & cY + dZ & X \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that $X\{Y^2, XY, XZ, cYZ, dZ^2\} \subset U_\varphi$, hence, in view of claim 2.2, $c = 0$ or $d = 0$. If $d = 0$, then

$$\varphi \sim \begin{bmatrix} X & Y & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & Z & Y & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & Y & X \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} X & Y & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & Z & 0 & X \\ 0 & 0 & Y & X \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} X & 0 & Y & 0 \\ 0 & Y & 0 & X \\ 0 & 0 & Z & X \end{bmatrix} \sim \theta_{(Y,Z)},$$

If $c = 0$, then we obtain the matrix

$$\boldsymbol{\lambda} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{X} & \boldsymbol{Y} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \boldsymbol{Z} & \boldsymbol{Y} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \boldsymbol{Z} & \boldsymbol{X} \end{bmatrix}$$

representing a $\mathbb{T}$-fixed point. Note that $U_\lambda = \operatorname{span}\{XY^2, X^2Y, X^2Z, XZ^2\} \neq U_{\kappa_\sigma}$, hence $[\lambda] \neq [\kappa_\sigma]$ for all $\sigma \in \mathrm{S}_3$. Moreover considering types we see that $[\lambda] \neq [\theta_\sigma]$ for all $\sigma \in \mathrm{S}_3$. Since $\lambda \sim \lambda_{(Y,Z)}$, we conclude that we get three new $\mathbb{T}$-fixed points, $[\boldsymbol{\lambda_\sigma}]$, $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \in \mathbf{A_3}$.

2.2.4. *Case when Υ is the union of a closed point on L and a double point whose support is on L.* Assume that $\Upsilon = \{p, q\}$, where $p, \operatorname{red}(q) \in L$. Thus $I(q) = (X^2, eX + aY + bZ)$, $I(p) = (X, cY + dZ)$, where

$$\begin{vmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{vmatrix} \neq 0. \quad \text{Thus we may write} \quad \varphi = \begin{bmatrix} eX + aY + bZ & X & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & cY + dZ & X & 0 \\ \star & \star & \star & X \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that $X\{X^2, aXY, bXZ, acY^2, bdZ^2, (ad + bc)YZ\} \subset U_\varphi$, hence, by claim 2.2, $a = 0$ or $b = 0$. We may assume that $b = 0$, the case when $a = 0$ being obtained by a permutation of variables. Thus $d \neq 0$. Assume first that $e \neq 0$. Then $X\{X^2, XY, XZ, YZ, acY^2\} \subset U_\varphi$, forcing $c = 0$, in view of claim 2.2. We may write

$$\varphi = \begin{bmatrix} eX + Y & X & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & Z & X & 0 \\ \ell_1 & \ell_2 & \ell_3 & X \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since X divides φ_4, we see that X divides ℓ_3, so we may assume that $\ell_3 = 0$ and $\ell_2 = fY$. Since $fXY^2 \in U_\varphi = \operatorname{span}\{X^3, X^2Y, X^2Z, XYZ\}$ we see that $f = 0$ hence

$$\varphi \sim \begin{bmatrix} eX + Y & X & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & Z & X & 0 \\ Z & 0 & 0 & X \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} Y & X & 0 & 0 \\ -eZ & Z & X & 0 \\ Z & 0 & 0 & X \end{bmatrix} \sim \mu.$$

Here

$$\boldsymbol{\mu} = \left[\begin{array}{cccc} \boldsymbol{Y} & \boldsymbol{X} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \boldsymbol{Z} & \boldsymbol{X} & \mathbf{0} \\ \boldsymbol{Z} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \boldsymbol{X} \end{array} \right]$$

represents a $\mathbb{T}$-fixed point of N different from θ_σ, κ_σ, λ_σ for all $\sigma \in \mathrm{S}_3$ because $\operatorname{type}(\mu) = (3, 1, 2)$ is different from the types of the other points. We obtain six new $\mathbb{T}$-fixed points $[\boldsymbol{\mu_\sigma}]$, $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \in \mathbf{S_3}$.

Assume now that $e = 0$, so we may write

$$\varphi = \left[\begin{array}{cccc} Y & X & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & cY + Z & X & 0 \\ gZ & fY & 0 & X \end{array} \right].$$

Assume first that $c \neq 0$. Note that $X\{X^2, XY, Y^2, YZ, gXZ\} \subset U_\varphi$, hence, in view of claim 2.2, $g = 0$ and

$$\varphi \sim \left[\begin{array}{cccc} Y & X & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & cY + Z & X & 0 \\ 0 & Y & 0 & X \end{array} \right] \sim \left[\begin{array}{cccc} \boldsymbol{Y} & \boldsymbol{X} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \boldsymbol{Z} & \boldsymbol{X} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \boldsymbol{Y} & \mathbf{0} & \boldsymbol{X} \end{array} \right] = \boldsymbol{\nu}.$$

Clearly $[\nu]$ is $\mathbb{T}$-fixed. Considering types we can see that $[\nu] \neq [\theta_\sigma]$, $[\kappa_\sigma]$, $[\lambda_\sigma]$ for all $\sigma \in \mathrm{S}_3$. Moreover, $[\nu] \neq [\mu_{(Y,Z)}]$ because $U_\nu \neq U_{\mu_{(Y,Z)}}$. Thus we obtain six new points $[\boldsymbol{\nu_\sigma}]$, $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \in \mathbf{S_3}$. Finally, assume that $c = 0$, so we may write

$$\varphi = \left[\begin{array}{cccc} Y & X & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & Z & X & 0 \\ gZ & fY & 0 & X \end{array} \right].$$

We have $U_\varphi = \operatorname{span}\{X^3, X^2Y, XYZ, X(fY^2 - gXZ)\}$, hence, by claim 2.2, $f = 0$ or $g = 0$. We obtain the fixed points $[\mu]$, respectively $[\nu]$.

2.2.5. *Case when Υ is a triple point.* Assume that Υ is a triple point that is not contained in a line. If $\Upsilon \subset \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus L$, then Υ is $\mathbb{T}$-fixed, hence $\Upsilon = \{q_0\}$, $I(\Upsilon) = (Y^2, YZ, Z^2)$ and we may write

$$\varphi = \left[\begin{array}{cccc} Y & Z & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & Y & Z & 0 \\ \ell_1 & \ell_2 & \ell_3 & X \end{array} \right],$$

where $\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3 \in \mathbb{C}[Y, Z]$. Since X divides φ_4 and $\varphi_4 \in \mathbb{C}[Y, Z]$, we deduce that $\varphi_4 = 0$, which is contrary to our assumption that φ give a point in N_1. This shows that $\operatorname{red}(\Upsilon)$ is a point on L, given by the ideal $(X, aY + bZ)$, where a, b are not both zero, say $a \neq 0$. The possible ideals defining Υ are

(i) $(X^2, X(aY + bZ), (aY + bZ)^2)$,
(ii) $(X^2, X(aY + bZ), (aY + bZ)^2 - XZ)$,
(iii) $((cX + aY + bZ)^2, (cX + aY + bZ)X, X^2 - (cX + aY + bZ)Z)$.

In the first case we may write

$$\varphi = \left[\begin{array}{cccc} X & aY + bZ & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & X & aY + bZ & 0 \\ \star & \star & \star & X \end{array} \right].$$

Note that $X\{a^2Y^2, abYZ, b^2Z^2, aXY, bXZ, X^2\} \subset U_\varphi$, hence, by claim 2.2, $b = 0$, i.e. $\Upsilon = \{q_2\}$. We may now write

$$\varphi = \left[\begin{array}{cccc} X & Y & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & X & Y & 0 \\ \ell_1 & \ell_2 & \ell_3 & X \end{array}\right].$$

By hypothesis X divides φ_4, hence X divides ℓ_1. Performing row and column operations we may assume that

$$\varphi = \left[\begin{array}{cccc} X & Y & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & X & Y & 0 \\ 0 & cZ & dZ & X \end{array}\right].$$

Note that $X\{Y^2, XY, X^2, dXZ, cYZ\} \subset U_\varphi$, hence, by claim 2.2, $c = 0$ or $d = 0$. In the case when $d = 0$ we obtain the fixed point ν. In the case when $c = 0$ we obtain a matrix

$$\boldsymbol{\xi} = \left[\begin{array}{cccc} \boldsymbol{X} & \boldsymbol{Y} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \boldsymbol{X} & \boldsymbol{Y} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \boldsymbol{Z} & \boldsymbol{X} \end{array}\right]$$

that represents a $\mathbb{T}$-fixed point in N. Considering types we see that $[\xi] \neq [\theta_\sigma]$, $[\kappa_\sigma]$, $[\lambda_\sigma]$ for all $\sigma \in \mathrm{S}_3$. Moreover, $[\xi] \neq [\mu_{(Y,Z)}]$, $[\nu]$ because $U_\xi \neq U_{\mu_{(Y,Z)}}$, U_ν. Thus we obtain six new $\mathbb{T}$-fixed points $[\boldsymbol{\xi_\sigma}]$, $\boldsymbol{\sigma \in \mathrm{S}_3}$.

Assume now that Υ has the ideal given at (ii). We may write

$$\varphi = \left[\begin{array}{cccc} aY + bZ & X & 0 & 0 \\ Z & aY + bZ & X & 0 \\ \star & \star & \star & X \end{array}\right].$$

Note that $X\{X^2, XY, XZ, Y^2, b^2Z^2\} \subset U_\varphi$, hence, by claim 2.2, $b = 0$ and, performing row and column operations, we may write

$$\varphi = \left[\begin{array}{cccc} aY & X & 0 & 0 \\ Z & aY & X & 0 \\ \ell_1 & \ell_2 & \ell_3 & X \end{array}\right]. \quad \text{Moreover,} \quad \varphi \sim \left[\begin{array}{cccc} aY & X & 0 & 0 \\ Z & aY & X & 0 \\ 0 & cY + dZ & 0 & X \end{array}\right]$$

because X divides ℓ_3, since X divides φ_4. Since $adXYZ \in U_\varphi$, we deduce that $d = 0$ and we obtain the fixed point $[\xi]$.

Assume, finally, that Υ has the ideal given at (iii). Thus we may assume that

$$\varphi = \left[\begin{array}{cccc} X & cX + aY + bZ & 0 & 0 \\ Z & X & cX + aY + bZ & 0 \\ \star & \star & \star & X \end{array}\right].$$

Notice that $\{X^2, Y^2, XY, YZ, bZ^2, cXZ\} \subset U_\varphi$ hence, by claim 2.2, $b = 0$, $c = 0$ and we may write

$$\varphi = \left[\begin{array}{cccc} X & aY & 0 & 0 \\ Z & X & aY & 0 \\ \ell_1 & \ell_2 & \ell_3 & X \end{array}\right].$$

Since X divides φ_4, X also divides $Z\ell_3 - aY\ell_1$, from which it follows that

$$\varphi \sim \left[\begin{array}{cccc} X & aY & 0 & 0 \\ Z & X & Y & 0 \\ 0 & Z & 0 & X \end{array}\right]. \quad \text{For } t \in \mathbb{C}^* \text{ denote} \quad \psi_t = \left[\begin{array}{cccc} X & aY & 0 & 0 \\ tZ & X & Y & 0 \\ 0 & Z & 0 & X \end{array}\right].$$

Clearly $\psi = \lim_{t\to 0}\psi_t$ is in W^{ss}. Since $\varphi \sim \psi_t$ for all $t \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and since the orbits for the action of G on W^{ss} are closed, we deduce that $\varphi \sim \psi$. But this is absurd, these two matrices have different types.

2.2.6. *Case when the maximal minors of* υ *have a common linear factor.* Assume that $\varphi \in W_1^{\mathrm{ss}}$ represents a $\mathbb{T}$-fixed point and that the maximal minors of υ, denoted υ_1, υ_2, υ_3, have a common linear factor. Then

$$\gcd(\upsilon_1, \upsilon_2, \upsilon_3) = l = \gcd(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3, \varphi_4).$$

As at the beginning of this section, we may assume that l is a monomial, say X, the other cases being obtained by a permutation of the variables. Thus we may write

$$\varphi = \begin{bmatrix} Y & X & 0 & 0 \\ Z & 0 & X & 0 \\ \star & \star & \star & \ell \end{bmatrix}.$$

Applying claim 2.2 we can easily see that ℓ is a monomial. Indeed, if, say, $\ell = aX + bY$ with $a \neq 0$, $b \neq 0$, then $X\{X^2, XY, XZ, Y^2, YZ\} \subset U_\varphi$. In the sequel we will assume that $l \in \{X, Y, Z\}$. When $\ell = Y$ or $\ell = Z$ we do not obtain any new fixed points in N. To see this it is enough to consider only the case when $\ell = Y$, the other case being obtained by transposing Y and Z. Thus we consider the matrix

$$\varphi = \begin{bmatrix} Y & X & 0 & 0 \\ Z & 0 & X & 0 \\ aX + bZ & cZ & dZ & Y \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that $U_\varphi = \mathrm{span}\{X^2Y, XY^2, XYZ, aX^3, bX^2Z, dXZ^2\}$, hence, in view of claim 2.2, precisely one among the numbers a, b, d is non-zero. We will first reduce the problem to the case when $c = 0$. Assume that a and c are non-zero, so

$$\varphi = \begin{bmatrix} Y & X & 0 & 0 \\ Z & 0 & X & 0 \\ aX & cZ & 0 & Y \end{bmatrix}. \quad \text{For } t \in \mathbb{C}^* \text{ denote} \quad \psi_t = \begin{bmatrix} Y & X & 0 & 0 \\ Z & 0 & X & 0 \\ aX & tcZ & 0 & Y \end{bmatrix}.$$

The matrix $\psi = \lim_{t\to 0}\psi_t$ belongs to W^{ss} because $\dim(U_\psi) = 4$. For all $t \in \mathbb{C}^*$ $\varphi \sim \psi_t$ because $\{[\tau\varphi], \tau \in \mathbb{T}\} = \{[\psi_t], t \in \mathbb{C}^*\}$. The orbit of φ is closed in the topology of W^{ss} because φ is stable. We deduce that $\varphi \sim \psi$, which is absurd, the two matrices having different types. The same argument will lead to a contradiction in the case when $b \neq 0$ and $c \neq 0$. Assume now that $c \neq 0$, $d \neq 0$, so we may write

$$\varphi = \begin{bmatrix} Y & X & 0 & 0 \\ Z & 0 & X & 0 \\ 0 & cZ & Z & Y \end{bmatrix}. \quad \text{Consider the matrix} \quad \psi = \lim_{t\to 0}\begin{bmatrix} Y & X & 0 & 0 \\ Z & 0 & X & 0 \\ 0 & tcZ & Z & Y \end{bmatrix}.$$

Arguing as above we can show that $\varphi \sim \psi$. It can be proven that this is impossible, however, for our purposes, all we need is to observe that $\psi \sim \kappa$, so, at any rate, we do not get a new $\mathbb{T}$-fixed point. We have thus reduced to the case when $c = 0$. Thus φ has one of the following forms:

$$\begin{bmatrix} Y & X & 0 & 0 \\ Z & 0 & X & 0 \\ X & 0 & 0 & Y \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \begin{bmatrix} Y & X & 0 & 0 \\ Z & 0 & X & 0 \\ Z & 0 & 0 & Y \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \begin{bmatrix} Y & X & 0 & 0 \\ Z & 0 & X & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & Z & Y \end{bmatrix}.$$

We obtain the fixed points $[\nu]$, $[\theta_{(Y,Z)}]$, $[\kappa]$.

It remains to examine the case when $\ell = X$. We may write

$$\varphi = \begin{bmatrix} Y & X & 0 & 0 \\ Z & 0 & X & 0 \\ 0 & aY+bZ & cY+dZ & X \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that $X\{X^2, XY, XZ, aY^2, (b+c)YZ, dZ^2\} \subset U_\varphi$, hence, by claim 2.2, precisely one among the numbers a, $b+c$, d is non-zero. When $b+c \neq 0$ we get the fixed point represented by the matrix

$$\boldsymbol{\pi}(b:c) = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{Y} & \boldsymbol{X} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \boldsymbol{Z} & \mathbf{0} & \boldsymbol{X} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \boldsymbol{bZ} & \boldsymbol{cY} & \boldsymbol{X} \end{bmatrix}.$$

We obtain an affine line of fixed points in N parametrised by $(b:c) \in \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{(1:-1)\}$. Note that $[\pi(1:0)] = [\mu]$ and $[\pi(0:1)] = [\mu_{(Y,Z)}]$. If $(b:c) = (1:-1)$ we obtain the fixed point in N_2 represented by the matrix

$$\pi(1:-1) = \begin{bmatrix} Y & X & 0 & 0 \\ Z & 0 & X & 0 \\ 0 & Z & -Y & X \end{bmatrix}.$$

This matrix is semi-stable in view of 2.3 below. The point $[\pi(1:-1)]$ lies in the closure of the fixed $\mathbb{A}^1$, so we get a projective line $\boldsymbol{\pi} = \{[\pi(b:c)], (b:c) \in \mathbb{P}^1\}$. This line is left invariant by the transposition (Y,Z), so we get three projective lines $\boldsymbol{\pi_\sigma}$, $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \in \mathbf{A_3}$, of $\mathbb{T}$-fixed points in N.

Assume now that $a \neq 0$, $c = -b$ and $d = 0$. We may write

$$\varphi = \begin{bmatrix} Y & X & 0 & 0 \\ Z & 0 & X & 0 \\ 0 & Y+bZ & -bY & X \end{bmatrix}. \quad \text{Denote} \quad \psi_t = \begin{bmatrix} Y & X & 0 & 0 \\ Z & 0 & X & 0 \\ 0 & tY+bZ & -bY & X \end{bmatrix}$$

for $t \in \mathbb{C}^*$. If $b = 0$, then $[\varphi] = [\xi]$. If $b \neq 0$, then, as before, $\varphi \sim \lim_{t\to 0} \psi_t$, hence $[\varphi] = [\pi(1:-1)]$. Assume that $a = 0$, $b = 0$, $c = 0$ and $d \neq 0$. Then $[\varphi] = [\xi_{(Y,Z)}]$. Assume, finally, that $a = 0$, $c = -b \neq 0$ and $d \neq 0$. Then, as before, $[\varphi] = [\pi(1:-1)]$.

2.3. **Fixed points in** N_2**.** Consider $\varphi \in W$. Assume that $\gcd(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3, \varphi_4) = q$ for some $q \in \mathrm{S}^2 V^*$. According to 3.3.2 [9], φ is semi-stable if and only if φ is equivalent to a morphism of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} Y & X & 0 & \ell_1 \\ Z & 0 & X & \ell_2 \\ 0 & Z & -Y & \ell_3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Moreover, $U_\varphi = \operatorname{span}\{qX, qY, qZ\}$ and q is a monomial. Assume that $q = X^2$. Then $\ell_3 \neq 0 \mod (Y,Z)$ so, without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$\varphi = \begin{bmatrix} Y & X & 0 & a_1X + b_1Y + c_1Z \\ Z & 0 & X & a_2X + b_2Y + c_2Z \\ 0 & Z & -Y & X \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since φ_1 is divisible by X^2 we deduce that $Y(b_2Y + c_2Z) - Z(b_1Y + c_1Z) = 0$, hence

$$\varphi \sim \begin{bmatrix} Y & X & 0 & a_1X \\ Z & 0 & X & a_2X \\ 0 & Z & -Y & X \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} Y & X & 0 & 0 \\ Z & 0 & X & 0 \\ 0 & Z & -Y & X + a_2Y - a_1Z \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since $q = X(X + a_2Y - a_1Z)$, we deduce that $a_1 = 0$, $a_2 = 0$ and we obtain the fixed point $[\pi(1 : -1)]$ from 2.2.6. Analogously, when $q = Y^2$ or Z^2, we get the fixed points $[\pi(1 : -1)_\sigma]$ for $\sigma = (X, Y)$, respectively $\sigma = (X, Z)$.

Assume now that $q = XY$. Clearly, $\ell_1 = 0 \mod (X, Y)$, hence we may write

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc} Y & X & 0 & 0 \\ Z & 0 & X & \ell_2 \\ 0 & Z & -Y & \ell_3 \end{array}\right].$$

Since $X \mid X\ell_3 + Y\ell_2$, we deduce that $X \mid \ell_2$. Since $Y \mid X\ell_3 + Y\ell_2$, we deduce that $Y \mid \ell_3$. Thus φ is equivalent to the matrix

$$\boldsymbol{\rho} = \left[\begin{array}{cccc} \boldsymbol{Y} & \boldsymbol{X} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \boldsymbol{Z} & \mathbf{0} & \boldsymbol{X} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \boldsymbol{Z} & -\boldsymbol{Y} & \boldsymbol{Y} \end{array}\right]$$

representing a $\mathbb{T}$-fixed point in N. We obtain three new isolated $\mathbb{T}$-fixed points $[\boldsymbol{\rho_\sigma}]$, $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \in \mathbf{A_3}$.

3. Torus action on the tangent spaces at the fixed points

Let $\varphi \in W^{\mathrm{ss}}$ give a fixed point in $\mathrm{N}(3, 4, 3)$. Assume that there are morphisms of groups $u\colon \mathbb{T} \to \mathrm{GL}(4, \mathbb{C})$, $v\colon \mathbb{T} \to \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{C})$,

$$u = \left[\begin{array}{cccc} u_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & u_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & u_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & u_4 \end{array}\right], \qquad v = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} v_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & v_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & v_3 \end{array}\right],$$

such that $\boldsymbol{\tau\varphi = v(\tau)\varphi u(\tau)}$ for all $\tau \in \mathbb{T}$. This is the case for all matrices $\varphi = \alpha$, β, γ etc. we found in section 2, cf. table 1 below. The map $G \to W^{\mathrm{ss}}$ given by $(g, h) \mapsto h\varphi g^{-1}$ has differential at the neutral element $\mathfrak{g} \to \mathrm{T}_\varphi W^{\mathrm{ss}} = W$ given by $(A, B) \mapsto B\varphi - \varphi A$. We identify $\mathfrak{g}$ with its image and we identify $\mathrm{T}_{[\varphi]}\,\mathrm{N}(3, 4, 3)$ with $W/\mathfrak{g}$. Let $w \in \mathrm{T}_\varphi W^{\mathrm{ss}}$ denote a tangent vector and let $[w]$ denote its image in $\mathrm{T}_{[\varphi]}\,\mathrm{N}$. Let $q\colon W^{\mathrm{ss}} \to \mathrm{N}$ denote the geometric quotient map. For a fixed $\tau \in \mathbb{T}$ let $\Lambda\colon W^{\mathrm{ss}} \to W^{\mathrm{ss}}$ denote the map $\Lambda(\psi) = v(\tau)\psi u(\tau)$. Denote by the same letter its differential at every point. From the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} W^{\mathrm{ss}} \arrow[r, "\tau"] \arrow[d, "q"'] & W^{\mathrm{ss}} \arrow[d, "q"] \\ \mathrm{N} \arrow[r, "\tau"] & \mathrm{N} \end{tikzcd}$$

in which the horizontal maps are the maps of multiplication by τ, we have the relations

$$\mathrm{d}\,\tau_{[\varphi]}(\mathrm{d}\,q_\varphi(w)) = \mathrm{d}\,q_{\tau\varphi}(\mathrm{d}\,\tau_\varphi(w)) = \mathrm{d}\,q_{\tau\varphi}(\tau w) = \mathrm{d}\,q_{\Lambda(\varphi)}(\tau w).$$

Since $q \circ \Lambda = q$, we see that

$$\mathrm{d}\,q_\varphi(w) = \mathrm{d}\,q_{\Lambda(\varphi)}(\mathrm{d}\,\Lambda_\varphi(w)) = \mathrm{d}\,q_{\Lambda(\varphi)}(\Lambda(w)).$$

It follows that

$$\mathrm{d}\,q_{\Lambda(\varphi)}(\tau w) = \mathrm{d}\,q_\varphi(\Lambda^{-1}(\tau w)) = \mathrm{d}\,q_\varphi(v(\tau)^{-1}(\tau w)u(\tau)^{-1}).$$

The above calculations show that the action of $\mathbb{T}$ on $\mathrm{T}_{[\varphi]}\,\mathrm{N}$, denoted by $\star$, is given by the formula

$$\tau \star [w] = [v(\tau)^{-1}(\tau w)u(\tau)^{-1}] \tag{3.1}$$

and is induced by an action of $\mathbb{T}$ on W given by the same formula. The subspace $\mathfrak{g} \subset W$ is invariant because

$$\tau \star (B\varphi - \varphi A) = v(\tau)^{-1}(B(\tau\varphi) - (\tau\varphi)A)u(\tau)^{-1} = v(\tau)^{-1}B\,v(\tau)\varphi - \varphi u(\tau)A\,u(\tau)^{-1}.$$

The induced action on $\mathfrak{g}$ is given by the formula

$$\tau \star (A, B) = (u(\tau)A\,u(\tau)^{-1}, v(\tau)^{-1}B\,v(\tau)). \tag{3.2}$$

From (3.1) and (3.2) it follows that the set of weights for the action of $\mathbb{T}$ on $\mathrm{T}_{[\varphi]}\,\mathrm{N}(3,4,3)$, denoted by $\chi^*[\varphi]$, is given by the list

$$\begin{aligned}\{v_j^{-1}u_i^{-1}t_k \mid i = 1,2,3,4,\ j = 1,2,3,\ k = 0,1,2\}& \\ \setminus \big(\{u_i u_j^{-1} \mid i,j = 1,2,3,4\} \cup \{v_i^{-1}v_j \mid i,j = 1,2,3\} \setminus \{\chi_0\}\big)&.\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Here χ_0 is the trivial character of G. We adopt the following convention: a character $\chi \in \chi^*[\varphi]$ occurs as many times in the above list as is the dimension of its eigenspace. It is convenient to use additive notation instead of multiplicative. For this purpose we replace the character $t_0^i t_1^j t_2^k$ with the expression $ix + jy + kz$, where $i,j,k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Denote also $x_0 = x$, $x_1 = y$, $x_2 = z$. The additive version of (3.3) reads:

$$\begin{aligned}\chi^*[\varphi] = \{-v_j - u_i + x_k \mid i = 1,2,3,4,\ j = 1,2,3,\ k = 0,1,2\}& \\ \setminus \big(\{u_i - u_j \mid i,j = 1,2,3,4\} \cup \{v_j - v_i \mid i,j = 1,2,3\} \setminus \{\chi_0\}\big)&.\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

The following table gives the values of u and v for all fixed points found in section 2. The points $\pi(b:c)$ from 2.2.6 are ignored because $\chi^*(p) = \chi^*(p')$ for any p and p' on the fixed line π, so it is enough to examine only one point on π, say $[\mu]$.

Table 1

fixed point	(v_1, v_2, v_3)	(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4)
α	(z, x, y)	$(0, x-z, y-x, z-y)$
β	(y, x, x)	$(0, x-y, y-x, z-x)$
γ	$(y, x, 2y-x)$	$(0, x-y, y-x, x-2y+z)$
δ	$(y, 2y-z, 2y-x)$	$(0, z-y, x-2y+z, 2x-2y)$
ε	$(x, z, -x+y+z)$	$(0, y-x, x-z, 2x-y-z)$
ζ	$(y, x, -x+y+z)$	$(0, x-y, y-x, 2x-y-z)$
η	$(y, x, 2x-y)$	$(0, x-y, y-x, 2y-2x)$
θ	$(x, x, x-y+z)$	$(0, y-x, z-x, y-z)$
κ	$(y, x+y-z, x+2y-2z)$	$(0, z-y, -x-y+2z, -2y+2z)$
λ	$(x, x-y+z, x-2y+2z)$	$(0, y-x, -x+2y-z, 2y-2z)$
μ	$(y, -x+y+z, z)$	$(0, x-y, 2x-y-z, x-z)$
ν	(x, z, y)	$(y-x, 0, x-z, x-y)$
ξ	$(x, 2x-y, 2x-2y+z)$	$(0, y-x, 2y-2x, -x+2y-z)$
ρ	$(y, z, -x+y+z)$	$(0, x-y, x-z, x-z)$

Using the procedure "weight-decomposition" written in SINGULAR [4] (see appendix B) we can find the weight decomposition of each fixed point. The results are written in table 2 below.

Table 2

fixed point $[\varphi]$	$\chi^*[\varphi]$
$[\alpha]$	$2y-2z, -x+z, -2x+2z, y-z, -x+y, x-y,$ $-y+z, -x+z, 2x-2y, x-y, x-z, y-z$
$[\beta]$	$-x+z, -x+z, -x+y, -2x+2y, -2x+y+z,$ $x-2y+z, -y+z, -y+z, x-y, x-z, x-z, y-z$
$[\gamma]$	$-x+z, -x+z, -2x+y+z, -y+z, x-2y+z, x-y,$ $-y+z, 3x-3y, 2x-2y, -x+2y-z, x-z, y-z$
$[\delta]$	$-2y+2z, x-2y+z, x-y, -y+z, x-y, x-z,$ $y-z, -x+y, -2x+y+z, -2x+2z, -x+y, -x+z$
$[\varepsilon]$	$y-z, -y+z, x-z, 2x-2y, -2x+2z, -x+z,$ $x-y, -y+z, -3x+y+2z, -2x+2y, -x+y, -x+z$
$[\zeta]$	$-y+z, y-z, x-2y+z, x-y, -y+z, 2x-2y, -x+z,$ $-2x+2z, -2x+y+z, -3x+y+2z, -x+y, -x+z$
$[\eta]$	$-y+z, -x+z, -2x+y+z, -x+z, -2x+y+z, -3x+2y+z,$ $x-2y+z, -y+z, -x+z, 2x-3y+z, x-2y+z, -y+z$
$[\theta]$	$-x+y, -x+2y-z, -x+y, x-y, x-z, 2y-2z,$ $y-z, -x+z, -x+z, -x-y+2z, -x+y, -x+z$
$[\kappa]$	$-x-2y+3z, -x+z, -x-y+2z, 2x-2z, x-z, x-y,$ $-y+z, y-z, -x+2y-z, -x+y, -x+y, -x+z$
$[\lambda]$	$-x+y, -x+3y-2z, -x+2y-z, x-y, x-z, y-z,$ $-x-2y+3z, -y+z, -x+z, -x-y+2z, -x+y, -x+z$
$[\mu]$	$y-z, -x+2y-z, -2x+2z, -x+y, -2x+2y, -2x+y+z,$ $-x+z, -x-y+2z, -y+z, 0, -x+y, -x+z$
$[\nu]$	$x-2y+z, x-y, -2x+2z, -x+z, -y+z, -x+z,$ $-x-y+2z, -2x+y+z, -x+2y-z, -x+y, -x+y, -x+z$
$[\xi]$	$-2x+y+z, -2x+3y-z, -2x+2y, -x+z, -x+y, -y+z,$ $-2y+2z, -y+z, -x+z, -x-y+2z, -x+y, -x+z$
$[\rho]$	$2x-y-z, -x+2y-z, -x-y+2z, -x+y, x-y, -y+z,$ $-x+z, -x-y+2z, -x+y, -x+z, x-y, -y+z$

Let $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3)$ be a one-parameter subgroup of $\mathbb{T}$ (written in additive notation) which is not orthogonal to any non-zero character χ appearing in table 2. Inspecting table 2 we see that this condition is equivalent to saying that ω_1, ω_2, ω_3 are distinct and we do not have the relations $\omega_i = \omega_j/2 + \omega_k/2$, $\omega_i = \omega_j/3 + 2\omega_k/3$ for all distinct indices i, j, k. For instance, we can choose $\omega = (0, 1, 4)$. For each fixed point $[\varphi]$ in N denote by $\mathrm{p}[\varphi]$ the number of characters $\chi \in \chi^*[\varphi]$ satisfying $\langle \chi, \omega \rangle > 0$. Using the procedure "positive-parts" (see appendix B) we compute the set $\{\mathrm{p}([\varphi]_\sigma) \mid \sigma \in \mathrm{S}_3\}$. The results are written in column 2 of table 3 below.

We quote below the homology basis formula of [3], theorem 4.4. Let $X_1, \ldots, X_m$ denote the irreducible components of the $\mathbb{T}$-fixed set of N. Denote $\mathrm{p}(i) = \mathrm{p}[\varphi]$ for some (or, in fact, any) point $[\varphi] \in X_i$. Then for $0 \leq n \leq 2\dim(\mathrm{N})$ we have

$$\mathrm{H}_n(\mathrm{N}, \mathbb{Z}) = \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq m} \mathrm{H}_{n-2\,\mathrm{p}(i)}(X_i, \mathbb{Z}). \tag{3.5}$$

Table 3

fixed point	
α	$5,7$
β	$8,6,6,7,5,6$
γ	$6,4,7,9,6,5$
δ	$8,5,6$
ε	$8,5,5,8,4,7$
ζ	$9,4,5,10,4,7$
η	$12,3,6$
θ	$7,7,2,5,4,9$
κ	$7,6,4,6,4,6$
λ	$7,6,3$
ν	$10,4,3,9,2,8$
ξ	$11,5,3,8,0,8$
π	$9,6,1$
ρ	$8,3,5$

This formula allows us to compute the Betti numbers of N. Consider the Poincaré polynomial

$$\mathrm{P_N}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{2\dim(\mathrm{N})} \mathrm{b}_n(\mathrm{N}) x^n.$$

Let Φ denote the set of isolated $\mathbb{T}$-fixed points in N. From (3.5) we get the formula

$$\mathrm{P_N}(x) = \sum_{[\varphi]\in\Phi} x^{2\,\mathrm{p}[\varphi]} + \sum_{\sigma\in \mathrm{A}_3} (1+x^2) x^{2\,\mathrm{p}(\pi_\sigma)}.$$

Thus, the odd Betti numbers are zero and the even Betti numbers are as follows:

$$\mathbf{b_0 = 1, \quad b_2 = 1, \quad b_4 = 3, \quad b_6 = 5, \quad b_8 = 7, \quad b_{10} = 10, \quad b_{12} = 12.}$$

Appendix A. Diophantine equations

Here we will indicate how to solve equations (1.3) and (1.4). We will concentrate on the latter, the former being analogous. We fix r and solve for m and n. We say that two solutions (m, n) and (m', n') are equivalent if one of them can be obtained from the other by applying finitely many times the operations R and S. Let (m, n) be a solution that is smallest in its equivalence class, in the sense that $n \le n'$, $n \le m'$ for all $(m', n') \sim (m, n)$ and $m \le m'$ for all $(m', n) \sim (m, n)$. Thus $n \le m \le 3rn/2$. Indeed, if $3rn/2 < m$, then $(m', n) = (3rn - m, n)$ would be an equivalent solution for which either $m' < n$ or $m' < m$, contradicting the choice of (m, n). Checking the cases when $m = n$ or $m = 3rn/2$ yields no solutions, so we may assume that $n < m < 3rn/2$. Thus

$$11 > 3rmn - 2m^2 = (3rn - 2m)m \quad \text{forcing} \quad 2 \le m \le 10.$$

Checking the cases when $2m/(3r) < n < m \le 10$ yields the solution $(r, m, n) = (1, 4, 3)$. We conclude that the solutions of (1.4) are of the form $(1, m, n)$, where $(m, n) \sim (4, 3)$.

Appendix B. Singular programs

```
ring r=0,(x,y,z),dp;
proc weight-decomposition(list u,list v)
{list the_variables=(x,y,z); list w=list(); list g=list();
 int i,j,k,e;
 for (i=1; i<=size(u); i=i+1) {for (j=1; j<=size(v); j=j+1)
 {for (k=1; k<=3; k=k+1){w=w+list(-v[j]-u[i]+the_variables[k]);};};};
 for (i=1; i<=size(u); i=i+1) {for (j=1; j<=size(u); j=j+1)
                                           {g=g+list(u[i]-u[j]);};};
 for (i=1; i<=size(v); i=i+1) {for (j=1; j<=size(v); j=j+1)
                                           {g=g+list(v[i]-v[j]);};};
 g=delete(g,size(g));
 for (i=1; i<=size(g); i=i+1) {e=1; for (j=1; j<=size(w); j=j+1)
  {if (w[j]==g[i] and e==1) {w=delete(w,j); e=0;};};};
 return(w);};

proc positive_part(list v)
{int i; int p; p=0; for(i=1;i<=12;i=i+1) {if(v[i]>0) {p=p+1;};};
 return(p);};

proc the_values(list w, list l)
{int i; list v; v=list(); for(i=1; i<=12; i=i+1)
 {v=v+list((w[i]/x)*l[1]+(w[i]/y)*l[2]+(w[i]/z)*l[3]);};
 return(v);};

proc positive-parts(list w)
{list d; d=list(); int i; list omega;
 omega=list(list(0,1,4),list(1,4,0),list(4,0,1), list(1,0,4),
  list(4,1,0), list(0,4,1)); for(i=1; i<=6; i=i+1)
 {d=d+list(positive_part(the_values(w,omega[i])))};
 return(d);};
```

References

[1] A. Białynicki-Birula, Some theorems on actions of algebraic groups, Ann. Math. **98** (1973), 480–497.

[2] A. Białynicki-Birula, On fixed points of torus actions on projective varieties, Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci., Sér. Sci. Math. Astron. Phys. **22** (1974), 1097–1101.

[3] J. Carrell, Torus actions and cohomology, in: Algebraic quotients. Torus actions and cohomology. The adjoint representation and the adjoint action. Encycl. Math. Sci. 131(2). Springer Verlag, Berlin, 2002.

[4] W. Decker, G.-M. Greuel, G. Pfister, H. Schönemann, SINGULAR 3-1-6 — A computer algebra system for polynomial computations. http://www.singular.uni-kl.de (2012).

[5] J.-M. Drézet, Fibrés exceptionnels et variétés de modules de faisceaux semi-stables sur $\mathbb{P}_2(\mathbb{C})$, J. reine angew. Math. **380** (1987), 14–58.

[6] J.-M. Drézet, Cohomologie des variétés de modules de hauteur nulle, Math. Ann. **281** (1988), 43–85.

[7] G. Ellingsrud, S.A. Strømme, On the Chow ring of a geometric quotient, Ann. Math. **130** (1989), 159–187.

[8] J. Le Potier, Lectures on vector bundles. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics 54. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.

[9] M. Maican, On the moduli spaces of semi-stable plane sheaves of dimension one and multiplicity five, Ill. J. Math., in press.